

Faculty of Geography Lomonosov Moscow State University (Russia) Russian-Mongolian biological expedition RAS-MAS (Russia) Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (Germany) Institute of Geography, Mongolian Academy of Sciences (Mongolia) Baikal Institute of nature management (Russia) Stockholm University (Sweden)

Monitoring and modelling of sediment transport in Selenga transboundary river system

Sergey R. Chalov,

Nikolay I. Alexeevsky, Ekaterina V. Belozerova, Phillip Theuring, Daniel Karthe, Endon Garmaev

Transboundary rivers of Russia



Selenga river basin



Sediment budget



Mining

Metal contamination and budget

Organic matter spreading



Field campaigns 2011-2012













Flow measurements





Geochemical studies





Vertical compose suspended sediments determination

River valley tacheometrical survey

Principal approach to field campaigns



Hydrological conditions

over Mongolia



Hydrological conditions

over Russia

(by Potemkina, 2011)



Q – water discharge 1 – average for 5 years R – suspended sediment load 2 – average for 5 years

3 – linear trends

Integrating continuous meso-scale (red) and episodic large-scale monitoring



Human impacts: pollution and water consumption

Sectors that use water and associated total water use (million m3)

1. Drinking water supply	71.35
2. Agricultural water	
Livestock	71.00
Crop irrigation	52.28
3. Exploitation industry	
Extractive mining industry	35.8
Industrial water supply, Energy production,	93.8
Energy production, Power plants	27.6
Hydro Power Plant	80.0
3. Tourism water supply (excl. spa resorts)	1.68
4. Green area	0.27
Total:	433.78

Climate-induced drivers of water and pollutants dynamics



Suspended sediment concentration (SSC) assessment



Suspended sediment grain size



Organic matter content



Reliability for annual sediment yield for Selenga river basin (2011)



4350 t/year

Single measurements in 2011



continuous monitoring in 2011

Contribution of storm events



d, mm

3 – grain size

Storm events signatures in pollutants transport





Daily sediment budget calculations for Selenga river basin (2011)

Grain size	Tuul river	Orkon river, middle	Orkon river, low	Selenga
Sandy	7,3	237,8	265	302,3
(>0,05 mm)	(3,2 %)	(9,7 %)	(19,5 %)	(26,3 %)
Silt 0,001 –	210,3	1869,6	1048	720,9
0,05 mm	(92,9 %)	(76 %)	(76,7 %)	(62,7 %)
Clay <	8,8	352,2	51,7	125,8
0,001 mm)	(3,88 %)	(14,3 %)	(3,79 %)	(10,95 %)
Total t/day (100%)	226,4	2460	1365	1149



Calculation of sediment load for various grain size classes





Contribution of channel erosion: Tuul river



1 – channel in 1970; 2 – channel in 2006; 3 – channel deformations;







Sediment transport modelling



Case study results



Zaamar Goldfield primarily impacts the closest (within couple kilometers from the mines) environments. However, contaminated sediments that are deposited in the vicinity of the goldfield can move much further downstream during peak flow events. Also during slowly descending flow conditions, the mining waste may continue to be transported, to the Orkhon River and its downstream areas.



Thank you for your attention

